arXiv:1609.07632v1 [math.FA] 24 Sep 2016

The p-approximation property for simple Lie groups with finite center

Ignacio Vergara

Abstract

We prove that the groups $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(2,\mathbb{R})$ do not have the p-approximation property for any $1 < p < \infty$, which implies in particular that they are not p-weakly amenable. It follows that the same holds for any connected simple Lie group with finite center and real rank greater than 1, as well as for any lattice in it. This extends Haagerup and de Laat's result for the AP, which in this language corresponds to the case $p = 2$.

1 Introduction

In [1] the authors define what we could call L_p versions of weak amenability and the approximation property (AP). In order to do this, they work with the Figà-Talamanca–Herz algebra of a group instead of its Fourier algebra, and consider its p-completely bounded multipliers. These objects arise from the theory of p-operator spaces, which finds its origins in Pisier's work [21]. We refer the reader to [1] and [4] for a detailed treatment of this subject.

For a complex Banach space E, we denote E^* its dual and $\mathcal{B}(E)$ the algebra of bounded operators on E. Let G be a locally compact group endowed with a left Haar measure. For $1 < p < \infty$ let $\lambda_p : G \to \mathcal{B}(L_p(G))$ be the left regular representation

$$\lambda_p(s)f(t) = f(s^{-1}t).$$

Consider the Banach space projective tensor product $L_{p'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_p(G)$, where $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$, and $C_0(G)$ the space of continuous functions on G that vanish at infinity. We may define a map $\Lambda_p : L_{p'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_p(G) \to C_0(G)$ by

$$\Lambda_p(g \otimes f)(s) = \langle g, \lambda_p(s)f\rangle = g * \check{f}(s), \tag{1}$$

where $\check{f}(t) = f(t^{-1})$. The Figà-Talamanca–Herz algebra $A_p(G)$ is defined as the image of Λ_p endowed with the norm given by $L_{p'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_p(G)/Ker(\Lambda_p)$, namely

$$\|a\|_{A_p(G)} = \inf\left\{ \sum_n \|g_n\|_{p'}\|f_n\|_p \;\middle|\; a = \sum_n g_n * \check{f}_n,\ g_n \in L_{p'}(G),\ f_n \in L_p(G) \right\}.$$

It is a commutative Banach algebra under pointwise operations. Recall that the space $\mathcal{B}(L_p(G))$ may be identified with the dual of $L_{p'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_p(G)$ by

$$\langle T, g \otimes f\rangle = \langle g, T(f)\rangle, \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{B}(L_p(G)),\ \forall g \in L_{p'}(G),\ \forall f \in L_p(G).$$

The algebra of p-pseudo-measures $PM_p(G)$ is defined as the weak*-closed linear span of $\{\lambda_p(s) : s \in G\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(L_p(G))$. This algebra can be identified with $A_p(G)^*$ by

$$\langle T, \Lambda_p(g \otimes f)\rangle = \langle g, T(f)\rangle, \quad \forall T \in PM_p(G),\ \forall g \in L_{p'}(G),\ \forall f \in L_p(G).$$

When $p = 2$, $A_p(G)$ coincides with the Fourier algebra $A(G)$, and $PM_p(G)$ is the group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{L}(G)$.

We say that a function $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a multiplier of $A_p(G)$ if $\varphi a \in A_p(G)$ for every $a \in A_p(G)$. The notion of p-completely bounded multiplier is defined in the context of p-operator spaces; however, we will only use the following characterization, which can be taken as a definition. Consider SQ_p the class of Banach spaces which are quotients of subspaces (or equivalently subspaces of quotients) of L_p spaces. We say that φ is a p-completely bounded multiplier of $A_p(G)$ if there exist $E \in SQ_p$ and continuous bounded maps $\alpha : G \to E$, $\beta : G \to E^*$ such that

$$\varphi(st^{-1}) = \langle \beta(s), \alpha(t)\rangle, \qquad \forall s, t \in G. \tag{2}$$

We denote the space of all such functions $M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$, with the norm given by the infimum of $\|\alpha\|_\infty \|\beta\|_\infty$ over all E, α, β such that (2) holds. Since closed subspaces and quotients of Hilbert spaces are again Hilbert spaces, $M_{2\text{-}cb}(G)$ is the space of completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra, often noted as $M_0A(G)$.

Remark 1.1. *Suppose that $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ and take E, α and β such that φ decomposes as in (2). Then there exist a measure space Ω and a closed subspace $F \subset L_p(\Omega)$ such that E is a subspace of $L_p(\Omega)/F$. Then, for every $t \in G$, $\alpha(t) \in L_p(\Omega)/F$, and this space may be identified with the dual of $F^\perp \subset L_q(\Omega)$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, $\alpha(t)$ extends to an element $\tilde{\alpha}(t) \in L_q(\Omega)^* = L_p(\Omega)$ of same norm. Likewise, for all $s \in G$, $\beta(s) \in E^*$ extends to $\tilde{\beta}(s) \in (L_p(\Omega)/F)^*$, and this space may be identified with $F^\perp \subset L_q(\Omega)$. Hence, we get functions $\tilde{\alpha} : G \to L_p(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{\beta} : G \to L_q(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi(st^{-1}) = \langle \tilde{\beta}(s), \tilde{\alpha(t)}\rangle$ for all $s, t \in G$. However, we do not know whether these functions are continuous.*

Denote by $C_b(G)$ the space of bounded continuous functions on G. The following inclusions are contractive

$$A_p(G) \hookrightarrow M_{p\text{-}cb}(G) \hookrightarrow C_b(G).$$

An approximate identity (a.i.) for $A_p(G)$ is a net (φ_i) in $A_p(G)$ such that

$$\|\varphi_i a - a\|_{A_p(G)} \to 0, \quad \forall a \in A_p(G).$$

One of the many characterizations of amenability is the existence of a bounded approximate identity for $A_p(G)$ (for some $1 < p < \infty$). We say that G is p-weakly amenable if it has an approximate identity (φ_i) such that $\sup_i \|\varphi_i\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} < \infty$. If $p = 2$, this is the definition of weak amenability. We may also define an analogue of the Cowling-Haagerup constant.

$$\Lambda_p(G) = \inf\left\{ r \geq 1 \ :\ \text{there is an a.i. } (\varphi_i) \text{ for } A_p(G) \text{ s.t. } \sup_i \|\varphi_i\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} \leq r \right\}.$$

In order to define the p-approximation property we need to view $M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ as a dual space. This can be done as follows. Consider the injective contraction $L_1(G) \to M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*$ given by

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \int_G f(t)\varphi(t)\, dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G),\ \forall f \in L_1(G). \tag{3}$$

Let $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ be the norm closure of $L_1(G)$ in $M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*$. Miao proved (see [1, §4]) that

$$M_{p\text{-}cb}(G) = Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*.$$

We say that G has the p-approximation property (p-AP) if there exists a net (φ_i) in $A_p(G) \subseteq M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ such that $\varphi_i \to 1$ in the weak* topology $\sigma(M_{p\text{-}cb}(G), Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G))$.

Remark 1.2. *Define $A_{p,c}(G) = A_p(G) \cap C_c(G)$, where $C_c(G)$ is the space of continuous compactly supported functions on G. Then $A_{p,c}(G)$ is dense in $A_p(G)$, and since the inclusion $A_p(G) \hookrightarrow M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ is contractive, the net (φ_i) can be taken in $A_{p,c}(G)$.*

For $1 < p < \infty$ fixed, amenability implies p-weak amenability, which in turn implies p-AP. For a discrete group Γ, these last two properties have equivalent formulations in terms of approximation properties of the p-operator spaces $PM_p(\Gamma)$ and $PF_p(\Gamma)$ (see [1, §5] for details). If $p = 2$, the p-AP is the approximation property (AP) of Haagerup and Kraus [13].

One of the motivations for asking whether a group possesses the p-AP is that this property implies that the convolvers are pseudo-measures. The algebra of p-convolvers $CV_p(G)$ is defined as the commutant of $\{\rho_p(s) \ : \ s \in G\}$, where $\rho_p : G \to \mathcal{B}(L_p(G))$ stands for the right regular representation

$$\rho_p(s)f(t) = f(ts)\Delta(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since $CV_p(G)$ is weak*-closed and the right and left regular representations commute with each other, it is always true that $PM_p(G) \subseteq CV_p(G)$. Cowling [2] showed that when G is weakly amenable, $PM_p(G) = CV_p(G)$ for every $1 < p < \infty$, suggesting that similar arguments show that the same holds when G has the AP. This was explained in detail by Daws and Spronk [5]. With minor changes in their proof, we show that for $1 < p < \infty$ fixed, if G has the p-AP, then $PM_p(G) = CV_p(G)$. In terms of proposition 2.1, this is (a priori) a stronger statement; however, we do not know if there exist groups satisfying the p-AP for some p, but failing to have the AP.

The major part of the paper is devoted to the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *The group $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ does not have the p-AP for any $1 < p < \infty$.*

Next we prove the following result, omitting some details which can be easily filled in with the proof of theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.4. *The group $Sp(2, \mathbb{R})$ does not have the p-AP for any $1 < p < \infty$.*

These two results imply our main theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and G be a connected simple Lie group with finite center.*

a) G has the p-AP if and only if it has real rank 0 or 1.

b) If Γ is a lattice in G, then Γ has the p-AP if and only if G has real rank 0 or 1.

The second part of this theorem provides examples of exact discrete groups which fail to have the p-AP for every $1 < p < \infty$. One of them is $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$.

Following the work of Lafforgue and de la Salle [19], where they proved that $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ does not have the AP, Haagerup and de Laat [11] showed that all connected simple Lie groups with finite center and real rank greater than 1 fail to have the AP. This was achieved by treating the case of $\mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and then using some stability properties of the AP. We follow the same strategy of [11] with some slight differences. Instead of using the general theory of Gelfand pairs and the decomposition of completely bounded multipliers on spherical functions, we work with some families of averaging operators on $L_2(K)$, where K is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$). This allows, by interpolation, to obtain the same kind of results for p-completely bounded multipliers. These averaging operators were already considered in [18], [19] and [17]. Also, we don't make use of the Krein-Smulian theorem. Instead of this, we construct a Cauchy net of measures on $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$) that converges to a linear form that cannot exist if the group has the p-AP.

Remark 1.6. *As a continuation of [11], Haagerup and de Laat [12] studied the AP for the universal covering group $\widetilde{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$ of $Sp(2, \mathbb{R})$, which allowed them to remove the assumption of finite center. Namely, a connected simple Lie group has the AP if and only if it has real rank 0 or 1. This suggests that the same kind of generalization can be made for theorem 1.5 by treating the case of $\widetilde{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we state some preliminary results regarding the algebra $A_p(G)$ and the p-AP. We also recall the KAK decomposition of $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the proofs of theorems 1.3 and 1.4 respectively.

In section 5 we prove some stability properties of the p-AP, which allow us to obtain theorem 1.5 as a consequence of the ones above.

Finally, in section 6 we show how the arguments in [5] can be adapted to prove that the p-AP implies that $PM_p(G) = CV_p(G)$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we study how the p-AP relates to the q-AP for different values of p and q. Then we prove that, for a compact group K, the inclusion $A_p(K) \hookrightarrow M_{p\text{-}cb}(K)$ is surjective. We

also recall the KAK decomposition of a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center. This is an essential step, that will allow us to restrict the analysis to the maximal compact subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(2,\mathbb{R})$.

2.1 Relations between p-AP and q-AP

Let G be a locally compact group endowed with a left Haar measure. The following proposition was proven in [1, §6] for G discrete. We include the proof of the general case for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $1 < p \leq q \leq 2$ or $2 \leq q \leq p < \infty$. If G has the q-AP, then it has the p-AP.*

Proof. By [1, Proposition 6.1], the inclusion $M_{q\text{-}cb}(G) \hookrightarrow M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ is a contraction. Thus, the adjoint map $M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^* \hookrightarrow M_{q\text{-}cb}(G)^*$ is also a contraction and it maps $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ to $Q_{q\text{-}cb}(G)$ because

$$|\langle f, \varphi\rangle| \leq \|f\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*}\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} \leq \|f\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*}\|\varphi\|_{M_{q\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

for all $f \in L_1(G)$ and $\varphi \in M_{q\text{-}cb}(G)$. Since G has the q-AP, there is a net (φ_i) in $A_q(G)$ such that $\varphi_i \to 1$ in $\sigma(M_{q\text{-}cb}(G), Q_{q\text{-}cb}(G))$. Observe that since $C_c(G) \otimes C_c(G)$ is dense in $L_{q'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_q(G)$ and the map $\Lambda_q : L_{q'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_q(G) \to A_q(G)$ is contractive, we may take the net in $\Lambda_q(C_c(G) \otimes C_c(G))$, which is equal to $\Lambda_p(C_c(G) \otimes C_c(G))$ as sets. Therefore, for all $\mu \in Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G) \subset Q_{q\text{-}cb}(G)$,

$$\langle \varphi_i, \mu\rangle \to \langle 1, \mu\rangle,$$

and this net lies in $A_p(G)$. Hence, G has the p-AP. □

Now let $1 < p, p' < \infty$ with $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$. For a function $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ we put $\check{\varphi}(s) = \varphi(s^{-1})$.

Lemma 2.2. *The map $\varphi \mapsto \check{\varphi}$ defines an isometric isomorphism from $M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ to $M_{p'\text{-}cb}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$. Let $E \in SQ_p$ and $\alpha : G \to E$, $\beta : G \to E^*$ continuous such that

$$\varphi(st^{-1}) = \langle \beta(s), \alpha(t)\rangle, \quad \forall s, t \in G.$$

Then $\check{\varphi}(st^{-1}) = \langle \beta(t), \alpha(s)\rangle$. Now observe that $E^* \in SQ_{p'}$. Indeed, since $E \in SQ_p$, there exist a measure space (Ω, μ) and a closed subspace $F \subset L_p(\mu)$ such that E is a subspace of $L_p(\mu)/F$. So $E^* \cong (L_p(\mu)/F)^* / E^\perp$ and $(L_p(\mu)/F)^* \cong F^\perp \subset L_{p'}(\mu)$. Since $\alpha(t)$ defines an element of E^{**} of equal norm, we get that $\check{\varphi} \in M_{p'\text{-}cb}(G)$ and $\|\check{\varphi}\|_{M_{p'\text{-}cb}(G)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}$. The other inequality follows analogously. □

For $\mu \in M_{p'\text{-}cb}(G)^*$, we may define $\check{\mu} \in M_{cb}A_p(G)^*$ by duality

$$\langle \check{\mu}, \varphi\rangle = \langle \mu, \check{\varphi}\rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in M_{cb}A_p(G),$$

which again defines an isometric isomorphism between $M_{p'\text{-}cb}(G)^*$ and $M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*$.

Proposition 2.3. *If G has the p-AP, then G has the p'-AP.*

Proof. Let (φ_i) be a net in $A_p(G)$ such that $\varphi_i \to 1$ in $\sigma(M_{p\text{-}cb}(G), Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G))$. The identity $(g * \check{f})^\vee = f * \check{g}$ shows that $\check{\varphi}_i \in A_{p'}(G)$ for all i. On the other hand, if $\mu \in Q_{p'\text{-}cb}(G)$ is given by a function $g \in L_1(G)$, a change of variable shows that $\check{\mu}$ is given by the function $\tilde{g}(s) = \Delta(s^{-1})g(s^{-1})$ which satisfies $\|\tilde{g}\|_1 = \|g\|_1$. Thus, the isometry $\mu \mapsto \check{\mu}$ maps $Q_{p'\text{-}cb}(G)$ to $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$. Therefore

$$\langle \check{\varphi}_i, \mu \rangle = \langle \varphi_i, \check{\mu} \rangle \to \langle 1, \check{\mu} \rangle = \langle 1, \mu \rangle, \quad \forall \mu \in Q_{p'\text{-}cb}(G).$$

□

Thanks to proposition 2.3 we may restrict all the analysis to the case $2 \leq p < \infty$.

2.2 The space $M_{p\text{-}cb}(K)$ for K compact

Recall that the Figà-Talamanca–Herz algebra $A_p(G)$ is defined as the image of the map $\Lambda_p : L_{p'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_p(G) \to C_0(G)$ given by (1). This is a particular case of what Herz calls representative functions (see [15]). As explained in [4, §8] in a more modern language, if E is Banach space and $\pi : G \to \mathcal{B}(E)$ is a strongly-continuous representation such that $\pi(s)$ is an isometry for all $s \in G$, then we can define a map $\Pi : E^*\hat{\otimes}E \to C(G)$ by

$$\Pi(\mu \otimes x)(s) = \langle \mu, \pi(s)x \rangle.$$

The space $A(\pi)$ is defined as the image of Π endowed with the norm of $(E^*\hat{\otimes}E)/Ker(\Pi)$. Thus $A_p(G) = A(\lambda_p)$. Moreover, consider $L_p(G; E)$ the Banach space of E-valued L_p functions on G. The algebraic tensor product $L_p(G) \otimes E$ defines a dense subspace of $L_p(G; E)$ by identifying

$$f \otimes x \mapsto f(\cdot)x, \quad \forall f \in L_p(G),\ \forall x \in E.$$

See [6, Chapter 7] for more details. Then, we may define the representation $\lambda_p \otimes I_E : G \to \mathcal{B}(L_p(G; E))$ by

$$[(\lambda_p \otimes I_E)(s)]\,(f \otimes x) = (\lambda_p(s)f) \otimes x, \quad \forall s \in G,\ \forall f \in L_p(G),\ \forall x \in E.$$

Herz proved the following.

Theorem 2.4. *[15, Lemma 0] If $E \in SQ_p$, then $A(\lambda_p \otimes I_E) = A(\lambda_p) = A_p(G)$.*

This theorem allows us to obtain the following characterisation.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If K is a compact group, then $M_{p\text{-}cb}(K) = A_p(K)$ with equality of norms.*

Proof. Recall that the inclusion $A_p(K) \hookrightarrow M_{p\text{-}cb}(K)$ is a contraction. Now let $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(K)$ and take E, α and β as in (2). Since K is compact, we can endow it with its normalized Haar measure. Define $X = L_p(K; E)$ and observe that if p' is the Hölder conjugate of p, then every $g \in L_{p'}(K; E^*)$ defines an element of X^* by

$$\langle g, f\rangle_{X^*,X} = \int_G \langle g(s), f(s)\rangle \, ds, \qquad \forall f \in X.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(s^{-1}t) &= \int_K \varphi(s^{-1}kk^{-1}t)\, dk = \int_K \langle \beta(s^{-1}k), \alpha(t^{-1}k)\rangle \, dk = \int_K \langle \beta(k), \alpha(t^{-1}sk)\rangle \, dk \\ &= \left\langle \beta, \left[(\lambda_p \otimes I_E)(s^{-1}t)\right] \alpha \right\rangle_{X^*,X}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $k \in K$,

$$\varphi(k) = \left\langle \beta, \left[(\lambda_p \otimes I_E)(k)\right] \alpha \right\rangle_{X^*,X}.$$

Hence, theorem 2.4 implies that $\varphi \in A_p(K)$ with

$$\|\varphi\|_{A_p(K)} \leq \|\beta\|_{L_{p'}(K;E^*)} \|\alpha\|_{L_p(K;E)} \leq \sup_{s\in K} \|\beta(s)\| \sup_{t\in K} \|\alpha(t)\|.$$

Taking the infimum over all E, α and β, we get $\|\varphi\|_{A_p(K)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(K)}$. □

2.3 The KAK decomposition

If G is a connected semisimple Lie group, then its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$. The real rank of G is defined as the dimension of any maximal abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. If G has finite center, then it may be decomposed as $G = KAK$, where K is a maximal compact subgroup and $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$. This decomposition is not unique; however, fixing a Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}^+$ and letting $A^+ = \exp \mathfrak{a}^+$, we still have $G = K\overline{A^+}K$, where $\overline{A^+}$ is the closure of A^+ in G. See [14, §IX.1] for details. The advantage of this decomposition is that for every $g \in G$, if $g = kak'$ with $k, k' \in K$, $a \in \overline{A^+}$, then a is unique. This is the main tool that will allow us to work with averages of multipliers when G is $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$, since in those cases K and $\overline{A^+}$ are explicit and very well known.

2.3.1 The $K\overline{A^+}K$ decomposition of $\mathbf{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$

The special linear group $G = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ is the Lie group of 3×3 matrices over $\mathbb{R}$ with determinant 1. The group $K = \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R}) = \{g \in G \ : \ g^t g = I\}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G. If we put

$$D(r, s, t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^t \end{pmatrix}, \quad r, s, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

then $\overline{A^+}$ is given by

$$\overline{A^+} = \{D(r,s,t) \ : \ r \geq s \geq t, \ r+s+t = 0\}.$$

2.3.2 The $K\overline{A^+}K$ decomposition of $\mathbf{Sp}(2,\mathbb{R})$

The symplectic group $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2,\mathbb{R})$ is the Lie group of all 4×4 matrices over $\mathbb{R}$ that preserve the standard symplectic form $\omega(x,y) = \langle Jx, y\rangle$, where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

Namely, $G = \{g \in \mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{R}) \ : \ g^t J g = J\}$. A maximal compact subgroup of G is given by

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \in M_4(\mathbb{R}) \ \middle| \ A + \mathrm{i}B \in \mathrm{U}(2) \right\}. \tag{5}$$

This group is isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}(2)$ via the following map

$$\begin{pmatrix} a+\mathrm{i}b & e+\mathrm{i}f \\ c+\mathrm{i}d & g+\mathrm{i}h \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & e & -b & -f \\ c & g & -d & -h \\ b & f & a & e \\ d & h & c & g \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

Let

$$D(\beta,\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\beta} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta,\gamma \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{7}$$

Then $\overline{A^+} = \{D(\beta,\gamma) \ : \ \beta \geq \gamma \geq 0\}$.

3 The special linear group $\mathbf{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$

This section is devoted to the proof of theorem 1.3. In the following we fix $G = \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$, $K = \mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$ and $p \in [2,\infty)$.

3.1 The operators T_δ and averages of multipliers

The idea of the proof of theorem 1.3 is to find an element $\mu \in Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ such that $\langle 1, \mu\rangle = 1$ and $\langle \varphi, \mu\rangle = 0$ for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G) \cap C_c(G)$. In order to do this, we will construct a

Cauchy net of functions (f_i) in $L_1(G)$ such that

$$\int f_i = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int f_i \varphi \to 0,$$

for every $\varphi \in C_c(G)$. The main tool used for this construction is the family of operators (T_δ) considered in [18, §2] and [19, §5], which can be viewed as operators on $L_p(K)$ when identifying the sphere S^2 with a quotient of $\mathrm{SO}(3,\mathbb{R})$. We detail this now.

Let U be the subgroup of K given by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\delta \in [-1,1]$, we define $T_\delta \in \mathcal{B}(L_p(K))$ by

$$T_\delta f = \int_U \int_U \lambda_p(u k_\delta u') f \, du \, du', \quad \forall f \in L_p(G),$$

where

$$k_\delta = \begin{pmatrix} \delta & -\sqrt{1-\delta^2} & 0 \\ \sqrt{1-\delta^2} & \delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K.$$

Observe that $U\backslash K$ may be identified with the sphere S^2 by

$$Uk \mapsto k^{-1} e_1,$$

where $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If we put

$${}^U L_2(K) = \{ f \in L_2(K) \ : \ \forall u \in U, \ \lambda_2(u) f = f \},$$

then this identification defines an isometry $\Psi : L_2(S^2) \to {}^U L_2(K)$ by

$$[\Psi f](k) = f(k^{-1} e_1).$$

This isometry relates the operators T_δ with those defined in [18]. Since $T_\delta(L_2(K)) \subseteq {}^U L_2(K)$, the operator $\Theta_\delta = \Psi^{-1} T_\delta \Psi$ is well defined on $L_2(S^2)$.

Proposition 3.1. *For all $f \in C(S^2)$, $x \in S^2$ and $\delta \in (-1,1)$, $[\Theta_\delta f](x)$ is the average of f on the circle $\{ y \in S^2 : \langle x, y \rangle = \delta \}$. Moreover, $[\Theta_1 f](x) = f(x)$ and $[\Theta_{-1} f](x) = f(-x)$.*

Proof. First observe that all the vectors $y \in S^2$ that satisfy $\langle e_1, y\rangle = \delta$ are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \sqrt{1-\delta^2}\cos\theta \\ \sqrt{1-\delta^2}\sin\theta \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. So if we put $x = k^{-1}e_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y\rangle = \delta &\iff \exists\theta \in [0,2\pi), \quad y = k^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \sqrt{1-\delta^2}\cos\theta \\ \sqrt{1-\delta^2}\sin\theta \end{pmatrix} \\ &\iff \exists\theta \in [0,2\pi), \quad y = k^{-1}u(\theta)k_\delta e_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [\Theta_\delta f](x) = [T_\delta \Psi f](k) &= \int_U \lambda_p(uk_\delta)[\Psi f](k)\, du = \int_U [\Psi f](k_\delta^{-1}u^{-1}k)\, du \\ &= \int_U f(k^{-1}uk_\delta e_1)\, du = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f(k^{-1}u(\theta)k_\delta e_1)\, d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

So if $\delta \in (-1, 1)$,

$$[\Theta_\delta f](x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1-\delta^2}} \int\limits_{\langle x,y\rangle=\delta} f(y)\, dy = \fint\limits_{\langle x,y\rangle=\delta} f(y)\, dy.$$

Finally, $[\Theta_1 f](x) = f(k^{-1}e_1) = f(x)$ and $[\Theta_{-1}f](x) = f(-k^{-1}e_1) = f(-x)$. □

The previous proposition implies that the operators Θ_δ are exactly those defined in [18, §2]. We wish now to view the family (T_δ) as operators on $L_p(K)$.

Lemma 3.2. *For all $\delta \in [-1, 1]$,*

$$\|T_\delta - T_0\|_{L_p \to L_p} \le 2^{1+\frac{2}{p}}|\delta|^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Observe that, for all $f \in L_2(K)$, there exists $\tilde{f} \in {}^U L_2(K)$ such that $T_\delta \tilde{f} = T_\delta f$ and $\|\tilde{f}\|_2 \le \|f\|_2$, namely

$$\tilde{f} = \int_U \lambda_2(u) f\, du.$$

So, using proposition 3.1 and [18, Lemme 2.2], there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|T_\delta f - T_0 f\|_2 = \|T_\delta \tilde{f} - T_0 \tilde{f}\|_2 \leq C_1 |\delta|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_2, \qquad \forall f \in L_2(K).$$

Moreover, by [11, Lemma 3.11], one can take $C_1 = 4$. This proves the lemma when $p = 2$. If $p > 2$, choose θ and q such that $1 - \frac{2}{p} < \theta < 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{2} + \frac{\theta}{q}$. This implies that $q > p$. Observe that

$$\|T_\delta - T_0\|_{L_q \to L_q} \leq \|T_\delta\|_{L_q \to L_q} + \|T_0\|_{L_q \to L_q} \leq 2.$$

By interpolation we get

$$\|T_\delta - T_0\|_{L_p \to L_p} \leq (4|\delta|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{1-\theta} 2^\theta.$$

Taking $\theta \to 1 - \frac{2}{p}$, we obtain (8). □

For $\delta \in [-1, 1]$, define $\varepsilon(\delta) = 2^{1+\frac{2}{p}} |\delta|^{\frac{1}{p}}$. So the previous lemma states that

$$\|T_\delta - T_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_p(K))} \leq \varepsilon(\delta), \qquad \forall \delta \in [-1, 1].$$

Observe that, since the left regular representation commutes with the right regular representation, the same holds for the operators T_δ, namely,

$$T_\delta \rho_p(k) = \rho_p(k) T_\delta, \qquad \forall k \in K.$$

So $T_\delta \in CV_p(K)$. Furthermore, K is compact, so in particular it is amenable. Hence, [16, Theorem 5] implies that $T_\delta \in PM_p(K)$ for all $\delta \in [-1, 1]$.

Now we state the main inequality used in the proof of theorem 1.3, which involves averages of multipliers. For a continuous function $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \int_K \int_K \varphi(ktk')\, dk\, dk', \qquad \forall t \in G. \tag{9}$$

This function is continuous and K-biinvariant. We will also consider the following family of elements of G,

$$D_a = \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{a}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\frac{a}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

These matrices satisfy $uD_a = D_a u$ for every $u \in U$.

Lemma 3.3. *For every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta \in [-1, 1]$,*

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D_a k_\delta D_a) - \tilde{\varphi}(D_a k_0 D_a)| \leq \varepsilon(\delta) \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Consider the function $\phi : K \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\phi(s) = \tilde{\varphi}(D_a s D_a) = \int_K \int_K \varphi(kD_a s D_a k')\, dk\, dk'.$$

Since $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$, for all $s, t \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(st^{-1}) &= \int_K \int_K \varphi(kD_a s(k'^{-1}D_a^{-1}t)^{-1})\, dk\, dk' = \int_K \int_K \langle \beta(kD_a s), \alpha(k'^{-1}D_a^{-1}t)\rangle\, dk\, dk' \\ &= \left\langle \int_K \beta(kD_a s)\, dk, \int_K \alpha(k^{-1}D_a^{-1}t)\, dk \right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where α and β are as in (2). The integrals in the last expression are well defined because α and β are continuous and K is compact. So we have found functions $\tilde{\alpha} : K \to E$, $\tilde{\beta} : K \to E^*$ such that

$$\phi(st^{-1}) = \langle \tilde{\beta}(s), \tilde{\alpha}(t)\rangle$$

and

$$\sup_{s\in K} \|\tilde{\beta}(s)\| \le \sup_{s\in G} \|\beta(s)\|, \qquad \sup_{t\in K} \|\tilde{\alpha}(t)\| \le \sup_{t\in G} \|\alpha(t)\|.$$

Finally, $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are continuous thanks to the uniform continuity of $k \mapsto \alpha(k^{-1}D_a^{-1}t)$ and $k \mapsto \beta(kD_a s)$. We conclude that $\phi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(K)$ and $\|\phi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(K)} \le \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}$. Hence, by proposition 2.5, $\phi \in A_p(K)$. Recall that $T_\delta \in PM_p(K) = A_p(K)^*$, thus, using lemma 3.2,

$$|\langle T_\delta - T_0, \phi\rangle| \le \|T_\delta - T_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_p(K))} \|\phi\|_{A_p(K)} \le \varepsilon(\delta)\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T_\delta, \phi\rangle &= \int_U \int_U \langle \lambda_p(uk_\delta u'), \phi\rangle\, du\, du' = \int_U \int_U \phi(uk_\delta u')\, du\, du' \\ &= \int_U \int_U \int_K \int_K \varphi(kD_a uk_\delta u' D_a k')\, dk\, dk'\, du\, du' \\ &= \int_U \int_U \int_K \int_K \varphi(kuD_a k_\delta D_a u' k')\, dk\, dk'\, du\, du' \\ &= \int_U \int_U \int_K \int_K \varphi(kD_a k_\delta D_a k')\, dk\, dk'\, du\, du' \\ &= \int_K \int_K \varphi(kD_a k_\delta D_a k')\, dk\, dk' = \tilde{\varphi}(D_a k_\delta D_a).\end{aligned}$$

And so we obtain (10). ∎

3.2 Proof of the theorem

This section follows the ideas of [18, §2], which were later used in [19] and [11]. The letters r, s, t will be now reserved for real numbers. Consider the set

$$\Lambda = \{(r, s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid r \ge s \ge t,\ r + s + t = 0\}.$$

Recall that the $K\overline{A^+}K$ decomposition of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ states that, for every $g \in G$, there exists a unique $(r,s,t) \in \Lambda$ such that $g \in KD(r,s,t)K$, where

$$D(r,s,t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore we may define functions $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 : G \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g \in KD(\gamma_1(g), \gamma_2(g), \gamma_3(g))K, \quad (\gamma_1(g), \gamma_2(g), \gamma_3(g)) \in \Lambda, \qquad \forall g \in G.$$

Observe that the eigenvalues of $g^t g$ are $e^{2\gamma_i(g)}$, $i = 1,2,3$. So these functions are continuous. Moreover, for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$,

$$\tilde{\varphi}(g) = \tilde{\varphi}(D(\gamma_1(g), \gamma_2(g), \gamma_3(g))).$$

Lemma 3.4. *For all $(r,s,t) \in \Lambda$ and $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$,*

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(r,s,t)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D_{-t}k_0D_{-t})| \leq \varepsilon(e^{r+2t})\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Observe first that, since the elements of K are isometries of $\ell_2^3 = (\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|_2)$, for all $k, k' \in K$,

$$\|kD(r,s,t)^{-1}k'\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2^3)} = \|D(r,s,t)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2^3)} = e^{-t}.$$

Then

$$e^{-\gamma_3(D_ak_\delta D_a)} = \|(D_ak_\delta D_a)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2^3)} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \delta e^{2a} & -e^{\frac{a}{2}}\sqrt{1-\delta^2} & 0 \\ e^{\frac{a}{2}}\sqrt{1-\delta^2} & \delta e^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-a} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2^3)}$$

$$= \max\left\{ e^a, \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \delta e^{2a} & -e^{\frac{a}{2}}\sqrt{1-\delta^2} \\ e^{\frac{a}{2}}\sqrt{1-\delta^2} & \delta e^{-a} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2^2)} \right\}.$$

But

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta e^{2a} & -e^{\frac{a}{2}}\sqrt{1-\delta^2} \\ e^{\frac{a}{2}}\sqrt{1-\delta^2} & \delta e^{-a} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{a}{2}} \end{pmatrix} k \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{a}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $k \in \mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R})$. So, for $a > 0$,

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \delta e^{2a} & -e^{\frac{a}{2}}\sqrt{1-\delta^2} \\ e^{\frac{a}{2}}\sqrt{1-\delta^2} & \delta e^{-a} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2^2)} \leq e^{\frac{a}{2}}e^{\frac{a}{2}} = e^a.$$

Therefore, if $a > 0$, then $\gamma_3(D_ak_\delta D_a) = -a$ for all $\delta \in [-1,1]$. On the other hand,

$$D_ak_1D_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{2a} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-a} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$D_a k_0 D_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{a}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{a}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-a} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $(r,s,t) \in \Lambda$. Observe that $-\frac{t}{2} \leq r \leq -2t$ and $\gamma_1(D_{-t}k_1D_{-t}) = -2t$, $\gamma_1(D_{-t}k_0D_{-t}) = -\frac{t}{2}$. So by continuity there exists $\delta \in [0,1]$ such that $\gamma_1(D_{-t}k_\delta D_{-t}) = r$. Furthermore, since $\gamma_3(D_{-t}k_\delta D_{-t}) = t$, we have $\gamma_2(D_{-t}k_\delta D_{-t}) = s$. Thus, using lemma 3.3 and the equality $\tilde{\varphi}(D_{-t}k_\delta D_{-t}) = \tilde{\varphi}(D(r,s,t))$, we get

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(r,s,t)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D_{-t}k_0D_{-t})| \leq \varepsilon(\delta)\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}.$$

Now observe that

$$\|D_{-t}k_\delta D_{-t}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2^3)} = \|D(r,s,t)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2^3)} = e^r$$

and

$$\|D_{-t}k_\delta D_{-t}e_1\|^2 = (\delta e^{-2t})^2 + e^{-t}(1-\delta^2) \geq (\delta e^{-2t})^2.$$

This implies $\delta \leq e^{r+2t}$ and so we get (11). □

Let us now define a family of measures in G by

$$\int_G f\, dm_g = \int_K \int_K f(kgk')\, dk\, dk', \quad \forall f \in C_b(G),\ \forall g \in G. \tag{12}$$

Since $M_{p\text{-}cb}(G) \hookrightarrow C_b(G)$ is contractive, m_g defines an element of $M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*$ of norm at most 1 for all $g \in G$. Then lemma 3.4 implies the following.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $g, g' \in G$ such that $\gamma_3(g) = \gamma_3(g')$. Then*

$$\|m_g - m_{g'}\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*} \leq \varepsilon(e^{\gamma_1(g)+2\gamma_3(g)}) + \varepsilon(e^{\gamma_1(g')+2\gamma_3(g)}).$$

Proof. Observe that, for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$,

$$\langle m_g, \varphi \rangle = \int \varphi\, dm_g = \tilde{\varphi}(D(\gamma_1(g), \gamma_2(g), \gamma_3(g))).$$

Then lemma 3.4 together with the triangle inequality implies

$$|\langle m_g - m_{g'}, \varphi \rangle| \leq \left(\varepsilon(e^{\gamma_1(g)+2\gamma_3(g)}) + \varepsilon(e^{\gamma_1(g')+2\gamma_3(g)})\right) \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

and the result follows. □

Moreover, if instead of fixing γ_3, we fix γ_1, we obtain a similar result.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $g, g' \in G$ such that $\gamma_1(g) = \gamma_1(g')$. Then*

$$\|m_g - m_{g'}\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*} \leq \varepsilon(e^{-2\gamma_1(g)-\gamma_3(g)}) + \varepsilon(e^{-2\gamma_1(g)-\gamma_3(g)}).$$

Proof. Consider the continuous group isomorphism $\theta : G \to G$ given by $\theta(g) = (g^t)^{-1}$. Then, for any $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$, we have that $\varphi \circ \theta \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ and $\|\varphi \circ \theta\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} = \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}$. Indeed,

$$\varphi \circ \theta(g_1 g_2^{-1}) = \varphi(\theta(g_1)\theta(g_2)^{-1}) = \langle \beta(\theta(g_1)), \alpha(\theta(g_2)) \rangle, \qquad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G,$$

where α and β are as in (2). Let $(r, s, t), (r', s', t') \in \Lambda$ such that $r = r'$. We may use corollary 3.5 to get

$$\left| \widetilde{\varphi \circ \theta}(D(-t, -s, -r)) - \widetilde{\varphi \circ \theta}(D(-t', -s', -r')) \right| \leq (\varepsilon(e^{-t-2r}) + \varepsilon(e^{-t'-2r}))\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}$$

for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$. On the other hand, since θ restricted to K is the identity,

$$\widetilde{\varphi \circ \theta}(g) = \int_K \int_K \varphi(k\theta(g)k')\, dk\, dk' = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \theta(g), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

And

$$\theta(D(-t, -s, -r)) = D(t, s, r) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} D(r, s, t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So

$$\widetilde{\varphi \circ \theta}(D(-t, -s, -r)) = \tilde{\varphi}(D(r, s, t)).$$

Therefore

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(r, s, t)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(r', s', t'))| \leq (\varepsilon(e^{-t-2r}) + \varepsilon(e^{-t'-2r}))\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

and the result follows as in corollary 3.5. □

We will use corollaries 3.5 and 3.6 repeatedly on some particular paths joining two points of Λ in order to obtain the desired Cauchy net.

Lemma 3.7. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $g, g' \in G$ with $\gamma_3(g') \leq \gamma_3(g)$,*

$$\|m_g - m_{g'}\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*} \leq C e^{\frac{\gamma_3(g)}{p}}.$$

Proof. Put $(r, s, t) = (\gamma_1(g), \gamma_2(g), \gamma_3(g))$ and $(r', s', t') = (\gamma_1(g'), \gamma_2(g'), \gamma_3(g'))$. We shall consider first the case $t \leq -1$. Let $n \geq 0$ such that

$$t - 2(n+1) < t' \leq t - 2n.$$

Let $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} = 1$ and define $\phi(r,s,t) = \tilde{\varphi}(D(r,s,t))$. Assume first that $s = s' = -1$. Then $\phi(1-t,-1,t) - \phi(1-t',-1,t')$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(1-t+2i,-1,t-2i) - \phi(1-t+2i,1,t-2(i+1)) \\
&+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(1-t+2i,1,t-2(i+1)) - \phi(1-t+2(i+1),-1,t-2(i+1)) \\
&+\phi(1-t+2n,-1,t-2n) - \phi(1-t+2n,-1+t-2n-t',t') \\
&+\phi(1-t+2n,-1+t-2n-t',t') - \phi(1-t',-1,t').
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\varepsilon(e^{-a}) = \tilde{C}e^{-\frac{a}{p}}$ for all $a \geq 0$. Then, using corollaries 3.5 and 3.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(1-t+2i,-1,t-2i) - \phi(1-t+2i,1,t-2(i+1))| &\leq \tilde{C}(e^{\frac{t-2i-2}{p}} + e^{\frac{t-2i}{p}}) \\
&\leq 2\tilde{C}e^{\frac{t}{p}}e^{\frac{-2i}{p}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(1-t+2i,1,t-2(i+1)) - \phi(1-t+2(i+1),-1,t-2(i+1))| &\leq \tilde{C}(e^{\frac{t-2i-3}{p}} + e^{\frac{t-2i-1}{p}}) \\
&\leq 2\tilde{C}e^{\frac{t}{p}}e^{\frac{-2i}{p}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(1-t+2n,-1,t-2n) - \phi(1-t+2n,-1+t-2n-t',t')| &\leq \tilde{C}(e^{\frac{t-2n-2}{p}} + e^{\frac{2t-2-4n-t'}{p}}) \\
&\leq \tilde{C}(e^{\frac{t-2n-2}{p}} + e^{\frac{t-2n}{p}}) \\
&\leq 2\tilde{C}e^{\frac{t}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that $t - 2(n+1) - t' < 0$. We also get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(1-t+2n,-1+t-2n-t',t') - \phi(1-t',-1,t')| &\leq \tilde{C}(e^{\frac{1-t+2n+t'}{p}} + e^{\frac{1+t'}{p}}) \\
&\leq 2\tilde{C}e^{\frac{1+t'}{p}} \\
&\leq 2\tilde{C}e^{\frac{1}{p}}e^{\frac{t}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together we obtain

$$|\phi(1-t,-1,t) - \phi(1-t',-1,t')| \leq C_1 e^{\frac{t}{p}},$$

with

$$C_1 = 2\tilde{C}\left(\frac{2}{1-e^{\frac{-2}{p}}} + 1 + e^{\frac{1}{p}}\right),$$

and this constant depends only on p. Now we deal with the general case. If $s > -1$, then

$$|\phi(r,s,t) - \phi(1-t,-1,t)| \leq \tilde{C}(e^{\frac{r+2t}{p}} + e^{\frac{1+t}{p}}) \leq 2\tilde{C}e^{\frac{1}{p}}e^{\frac{t}{p}}.$$

If $s < -1$,

$$|\phi(r,s,t) - \phi(r,-1,1-r)| \leq \tilde{C}(e^{\frac{-2r-t}{p}} + e^{\frac{-r-1}{p}}) \leq 2\tilde{C}e^{\frac{-2}{p}}e^{\frac{t}{p}}.$$

In both cases we found $(\tilde{r},-1,\tilde{t}) \in \Lambda$ satisfying

$$|\phi(r,s,t) - \phi(\tilde{r},-1,\tilde{t})| \leq C_2 e^{\frac{t}{p}},$$

with C_2 depending only on p, and such that $\tilde{t} \leq t$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(r,s,t) - \phi(r',s',t')| &\leq |\phi(r,s,t) - \phi(\tilde{r},-1,\tilde{t})| + |\phi(\tilde{r},-1,\tilde{t}) - \phi(\tilde{r}',-1,\tilde{t}')| \\
&\quad + |\phi(\tilde{r}',-1,\tilde{t}') - \phi(r',s',t')| \\
&\leq C_2 e^{\frac{t}{p}} + C_1 e^{\frac{1}{p}\max\{\tilde{t},\tilde{t}'\}} + C_2 e^{\frac{t'}{p}} \\
&\leq C_3 e^{\frac{t}{p}},
\end{aligned}$$

with $C_3 = (C_1 + 2C_2)$. This is valid when $t \leq -1$. If $t > -1$, then

$$|\phi(r,s,t) - \phi(r',s',t')| \leq |\phi(r,s,t)| + |\phi(r',s',t')| \leq 2\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} = 2 \leq C_4 e^{\frac{t}{p}},$$

with $C_4 = 2e^{\frac{1}{p}}$. We obtain the result taking $C = \max\{C_3, C_4\}$. □

Proof of theorem 1.3. Let $p \in [2,\infty)$. Observe that, for $g \in G$, $\|g\| = e^{\gamma_1(g)}$ and $\gamma_3(g) \leq -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1(g)$. So $\gamma_3(g) \to -\infty$ when $\|g\| \to \infty$. Then lemma 3.7 implies the existence of $\mu \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*$ such that $m_g \to \mu$ as $\|g\| \to \infty$. Now define a new family of measures $\tilde{m}_g$ on G by

$$\int f\, d\tilde{m}_g = \fint_{B_2} \int f(x)\, dm_{hg}(x)\, dh = \fint_{B_2} \int_K \int_K f(khgk')\, dk\, dk'\, dh, \quad \forall f \in C_c(G),$$

where $B_2 = \{g \in G \ : \ \|g\| < 2\}$. These again are probability measures. Furthermore, they are absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure λ on G. Indeed, let $A \subset G$ such that $\lambda(A) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{m}_g(A) &= \int_K \int_K \fint_{B_2} \mathbb{1}_A(khgk')\, dh\, dk\, dk' = \int_K \int_K \frac{1}{\lambda(B_2)} \lambda(B_2 \cap k^{-1}Ak'^{-1}g^{-1})\, dk\, dk' \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\lambda(B_2)}\lambda(A) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for all $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} = 1$,

$$|\langle \mu - \tilde{m}_g, \varphi\rangle| \leq \fint_B |\langle \mu - m_{hg}, \varphi\rangle|\, dh \leq \fint_B C e^{\gamma_3(hg)}\, dh.$$

But $e^{\gamma_3(hg)} = \|(hg)^{-1}\|^{-1}$ and $\|g^{-1}\| \leq \|g^{-1}h^{-1}\|\|h\|$. Thus

$$e^{\gamma_3(hg)} \leq \|h\|\|g^{-1}\|^{-1} \leq 2e^{\gamma_3(g)}.$$

And so $\tilde{m}_g$ converges to μ. This implies that μ is in the adherence of $L_1(G)$ in $M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*$, that is, in $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$. Now

$$\langle \mu, 1 \rangle = \lim_{g\to\infty} m_g(G) = 1.$$

And if $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G) \cap C_c(G)$, then for every g sufficiently large, $\varphi(g) = 0$. Since $\|kgk'\| = \|g\|$ for all $k, k' \in K$, we have also $\varphi(kgk') = 0$. Therefore

$$\langle \mu, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{g\to\infty} \int_K \int_K \varphi(kgk')\, dk\, dk' = 0.$$

Thus, if G had the p-AP, there would exist a net (φ_i) in $A_{p,c}(G)$ such that

$$0 = \langle \mu, \varphi_i \rangle \to \langle \mu, 1 \rangle = 1.$$

And this is a contradiction. Therefore, G does not have the p-AP for any $p \in [2, \infty)$. By proposition 2.3, the same holds for $1 < p < 2$. □

4 The symplectic group $\mathbf{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$

In this section we prove theorem 1.4. The strategy is the same as for $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$, with the main difference being the choice of the paths in the Weyl chamber in order to establish a result analogous to lemma 3.7. This is achieved by considering two different families of operators that will play the role of (T_δ), namely (13) and (14). These operators were defined in [17]. From now on we fix $p \in [2, \infty)$, $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and K as in (5).

Recall that K is isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}(2)$, and

$$\mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}$$

may be viewed as a subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(2)$ by inclusion. Therefore, using the isomorphism (6), we may define a family of operators (T_θ) on $L_p(K)$ by

$$T_\theta f = \int_{\mathrm{SO}(2)} \int_{\mathrm{SO}(2)} \lambda(r d_\theta r') f\, dr\, dr', \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi),\ \forall f \in L_p(K), \tag{13}$$

where

$$d_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\mathrm{i}\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\mathrm{i}\theta} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SU}(2).$$

Here $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ is endowed with the normalized Haar measure.

Lemma 4.1. *There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that, for all $\theta \in \left[\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right]$,*

$$\|T_\theta - T_{\frac{\pi}{4}}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_p)} \leq C_1 \left|\theta - \tfrac{\pi}{4}\right|^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof. The inequality for $p = 2$ is given by [17, Lemma 3.1]. The other cases follow by interpolation as in lemma 3.2. □

We consider also

$$S_\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \lambda(d_\varphi u_\theta d_{-\varphi})\, d\varphi, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R},\ \forall f \in L_p(K), \tag{14}$$

where

$$u_\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\mathrm{i}\theta} & -1 \\ 1 & e^{-\mathrm{i}\theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *There is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that, for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\|S_{\theta_1} - S_{\theta_2}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_p)} \leq C_2 \left|\theta_1 - \theta_2\right|^{\frac{1}{2p}}.$$

Proof. The case $p = 2$ is given by [17, Lemma 3.2] and the others follow by interpolation as in lemma 3.2. □

Again since $PM_p(K) = CV_p(K)$, the operators T_θ and S_θ belong to $PM_p(K)$. In order to obtain a result analogous to lemma 3.3, we define the following elements of G:

$$D_a = \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad D'_a = \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-a} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consider also

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1+\mathrm{i} & 0 \\ 0 & 1+\mathrm{i} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{U}(2),$$

which again, by the isomorphism (6), defines an element of K. Then, defining the average $\tilde{\varphi}$ of a multiplier $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ as in (9), we obtain the following two lemmas, which can be proved in the same way as lemma 3.3, using the operators T_θ and S_θ instead of T_δ.

Lemma 4.3. *For every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta \in \left[\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right]$,*

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D'_a d_\theta v D'_a) - \tilde{\varphi}(D'_{2a})| \leq C_1 \left|\theta - \tfrac{\pi}{4}\right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *For every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ and $a, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D_a u_{\theta_1} D_a) - \tilde{\varphi}(D_a u_{\theta_2} D_a)| \leq C_2 \left|\theta_1 - \theta_2\right|^{\frac{1}{2p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}.$$

The remaining of this section consists mostly on adaptations of some of the results in [11, §3]. Recall that $\overline{A^+} = \{D(\beta,\gamma) \ : \ \beta \geq \gamma \geq 0\}$, where $D(\beta,\gamma)$ is defined as in (7).

Lemma 4.5. *[11, Lemma 3.16] Let $\beta \geq \gamma \geq 0$. Then the equations*

$$\sinh^2(2s) + \sinh^2(s) = \sinh^2(\beta) + \sinh^2(\gamma)$$

$$\sinh(2t)\sinh(t) = \sinh(\beta)\sinh(\gamma)$$

have unique solutions $s = s(\beta,\gamma)$, $t = t(\beta,\gamma)$ in the interval $[0,\infty)$. Moreover,

$$s \geq \frac{\beta}{4}, \quad t \geq \frac{\gamma}{2}.$$

Lemma 4.6. *[11, Lemma 3.19] Let $s \geq t \geq 0$. Then the system of equations*

$$\sinh^2(\beta) + \sinh^2(\gamma) = \sinh^2(2s) + \sinh^2(s)$$

$$\sinh(\beta)\sinh(\gamma) = \sinh(2t)\sinh(t)$$

has a unique solution $(\beta,\gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ for which $\beta \geq \gamma \geq 0$. Moreover, if $1 \leq t \leq s \leq \frac{3t}{2}$, then

$$|\beta - 2s| \leq 1,$$

$$|\gamma + 2s - 3t| \leq 1.$$

Lemma 4.7. *There exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that whenever $\beta \geq \gamma \geq 0$ and $s = s(\beta,\gamma)$ is chosen as in lemma 4.5, then*

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta,\gamma)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2s,s))| \leq C_3 e^{-\frac{\beta-\gamma}{4p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$.

Proof. First assume that $\beta - \gamma \geq 8$. Then by (the proof of) [11, Lemma 3.17], there exist $r_1, r_2 \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $w_1, w_2 \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ given by

$$w_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i + \mathrm{i}b_i & 0 \\ 0 & a_i - \mathrm{i}b_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1,2,$$

where $a_i = \left(\frac{1+r_i}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $b_i = \left(\frac{1-r_i}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, such that

$$D'_a w_1 v D'_a \in KD(\beta,\gamma)K, \quad D'_a w_2 v D'_a \in KD(2s,s)K,$$

for some $a > 0$. Observe that $w_i = d_{\theta_i}$ with $\theta_i = \arctan\left(\frac{b_i}{a_i}\right)$, and

$$(\tan\theta_i)^2 = \frac{1-r_i}{1+r_i} \in \left[\tfrac{1}{3}, 1\right]$$

because $0 \leq r_i \leq \frac{1}{2}$. This implies that $\theta_i \in \left[\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right]$, so by lemma 4.3,

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D'_a w_i v D'_a) - \tilde{\varphi}(D'_{2a})| \leq C_1 \left|\theta_i - \tfrac{\pi}{4}\right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$. Using the inequality $|\arctan(x) - \arctan(y)| \leq |x - y|$, we obtain

$$\left|\theta_i - \tfrac{\pi}{4}\right| \leq \left|\tfrac{b_i}{a_i} - 1\right| = 1 - \left(\frac{1 - r_i}{1 + r_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2r_i.$$

The last inequality can be justified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - 2r_i \leq \left(\frac{1 - r_i}{1 + r_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\iff 1 - 4r_i + 4r_i^2 \leq \frac{1 - r_i}{1 + r_i} \\ &\iff 1 - 4r_i + 4r_i^2 + r_i - 4r_i^2 + 4r_i^3 \leq 1 - r_i \\ &\iff 4r_i^3 \leq 2r_i \iff r_i^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta, \gamma)) = \tilde{\varphi}(D'_a w_1 v D'_a)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(D(2s, s)) = \tilde{\varphi}(D'_a w_2 v D'_a)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta, \gamma)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2s, s))| &\leq |\tilde{\varphi}(D'_a w_1 v D'_a) - \tilde{\varphi}(D'_{2a})| + |\tilde{\varphi}(D'_a w_2 v D'_a) - \tilde{\varphi}(D'_{2a})| \\ &\leq C_1 \left((2r_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (2r_2)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}. \end{aligned}$$

Again by the proof of [11, Lemma 3.17], $r_1, r_2 \leq 2e^{\frac{\gamma - \beta}{4}}$. So

$$C_1 \left((2r_1)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (2r_2)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \leq C e^{\frac{\gamma - \beta}{4p}},$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on p. Finally, if $\beta - \gamma \leq 8$, then $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{\gamma - \beta}{4p} \geq 0$ and

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta, \gamma)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2s, s))| \leq 2\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_\infty \leq 2\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(K)} \leq 2\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} \leq C' e^{\frac{\gamma - \beta}{4p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

with $C' = 2e^{\frac{2}{p}}$. Hence, the result follows with $C_3 = \max\{C, C'\}$. □

Lemma 4.8. *There exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that whenever $\beta \geq \gamma \geq 0$ and $t = t(\beta, \gamma)$ is chosen as in lemma 4.5, then*

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta, \gamma)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2t, t))| \leq C_4 e^{-\frac{\gamma}{4p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$.

Proof. Assume first that $\gamma \geq 2$. Then the proof of [11, Lemma 3.18] gives the existence of $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\theta_1 - \theta_2| \leq e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}$ and

$$D_a u_{\theta_1} D_a \in K D(\beta, \gamma) K, \quad D_a u_{\theta_2} D_a \in K D(2t, t) K,$$

for some $a > 0$. Then, using lemma 4.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta,\gamma)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2t,t))| &= |\tilde{\varphi}(D_a u_{\theta_1} D_a) - \tilde{\varphi}(D_a u_{\theta_2} D_a)| \\ &\leq C_2 \, |\theta_1 - \theta_2|^{\frac{1}{2p}} \, \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} \\ &\leq C e^{-\frac{\gamma}{4p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}.\end{aligned}$$

for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$, with $C > 0$ depending only on p. Finally, if $\gamma < 2$, then

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta,\gamma)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2t,t))| \leq 2\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_\infty \leq 2\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} \leq C' e^{-\frac{\gamma}{4p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

with $C' = 2e^{\frac{1}{2p}}$. The result follows with $C_4 = \max\{C, C'\}$. □

Lemma 4.9. *There exists a constant $C_5 > 0$ such that whenever $s, t \geq 0$ satisfy $2 \leq t \leq s \leq \frac{6}{5}t$,*

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(2s,s)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2t,t))| \leq C_5 e^{-\frac{s}{8p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$.

Proof. Take $\beta \geq \gamma \geq 0$ given by lemma 4.6. Then, by propositions 4.7 and 4.8,

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta,\gamma)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2s,s))| \leq C_3 e^{-\frac{\beta-\gamma}{4p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta,\gamma)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2t,t))| \leq C_4 e^{-\frac{\gamma}{4p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}.$$

As shown in [11, Lemma 3.20], $\min\{\gamma, \beta - \gamma\} \geq \frac{s}{2} - 1$. Thus the result follows by the triangle inequality with $C_5 = e^{\frac{1}{4p}}(C_3 + C_4)$. □

Lemma 4.10. *There exists a constant $C_6 > 0$ such that whenever $s \geq t \geq 0$,*

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(2s,s)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2t,t))| \leq C_6 e^{-\frac{t}{8p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$.

Proof. Assume first that $t \geq 5$. Write $s = t + n + \delta$ where $n \geq 0$ is an integer and $\delta \in [0, 1)$. Then, by lemma 4.9, for every $j \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$,

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(2(t+j+1), t+j+1)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2(t+j), t+j))| \leq C_5 e^{-\frac{t+j+1}{8p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}.$$

And

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(2s,s)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2(t+n), t+n))| \leq C_5 e^{-\frac{s}{8p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{\varphi}(D(2s,s)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2t,t))| &\leq C_5 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} e^{-\frac{t+j}{8p}} \right) \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} \\ &\leq C e^{-\frac{t}{8p}} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},\end{aligned}$$

with $C > 0$ depending only on p. Finally, if $t < 5$, then

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(2s,s)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2t,t))| \leq 2\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_\infty \leq 2\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}.$$

The result follows by taking $C_6 = \max\{C, 2e^{\frac{5}{8p}}\}$. □

Lemma 4.11. *There exists a constant $C_7 > 0$ such that for all $\beta_1 \geq \gamma_1 \geq 0$, $\beta_2 \geq \gamma_2 \geq 0$ with $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2$,*

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta_1,\gamma_1)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta_2,\gamma_2))| \leq C_7 e^{-\frac{\beta_1}{32p}}\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$.

Proof. If $\beta_i \geq 2\gamma_i$, then $\beta_i - \gamma_i \geq \frac{\beta_i}{2}$, so by lemma 4.7,

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta_i,\gamma_i)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2s_i,s_i))| \leq C_3 e^{-\frac{\beta_i}{8p}}\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

with $s_i \geq \frac{\beta_i}{4}$. If $\beta_i < 2\gamma_i$, by lemma 4.8,

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta_i,\gamma_i)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2t_i,t_i))| \leq C_4 e^{-\frac{\beta_i}{8p}}\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

with $t_i \geq \frac{\gamma_i}{2} \geq \frac{\beta_i}{4}$. In both cases there exists $r_i \geq \frac{\beta_i}{4}$ such that

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta_i,\gamma_i)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2r_i,r_i))| \leq C e^{-\frac{\beta_i}{8p}}\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

with $C = \max\{C_3, C_4\}$. Moreover, by lemma 4.10,

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(2r_1,r_1)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(2r_2,r_2))| \leq C_6 e^{-\frac{r}{8p}}\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

with $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$. Observe that $r \geq \min\{\frac{\beta_1}{4}, \frac{\beta_2}{4}\} = \frac{\beta_1}{4}$. Putting everything together we get

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta_1,\gamma_1)) - \tilde{\varphi}(D(\beta_2,\gamma_2))| \leq C_7 e^{-\frac{\beta_1}{32p}}\|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)},$$

with $C_7 = 2C + C_6$. □

Now we are in position of proving theorem 1.4 in the same way as theorem 1.3. Define the functions $\beta, \gamma : G \to [0,\infty)$ by

$$g \in KD(\beta(g),\gamma(g))K, \quad \beta(g) \geq \gamma(g) \geq 0, \quad \forall g \in G,$$

and the family of measures m_g as in (12). Then lemma 4.11 can be stated as follows.

Corollary 4.12. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $g, g' \in G$ with $\beta(g) \leq \beta(g')$,*

$$\|m_g - m_{g'}\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*} \leq C e^{-\frac{\beta(g)}{32p}}.$$

Proof of theorem 1.4. The proof is almost the same as that of theorem 1.3, by using corollary 4.12 instead of lemma 3.7. Just observe that in this case, $\|g\| = e^{\beta(g)}$ and

$$e^{-\beta(hg)} = \|hg\|^{-1} \leq \|h^{-1}\|\|g\|^{-1} \leq \|h\|e^{-\beta(g)},$$

for every $g, h \in G$. The last inequality holds because $h^{-1} = J^{-1}h^tJ$, where J is the matrix defined in (4). □

5 Simple Lie groups with finite center

Now we state the proof of theorem 1.5 using theorems 1.3 and 1.4. For this purpose, we first need to discuss some stability properties of the p-AP.

5.1 Stability properties

Let G be a second countable locally compact group and $1 < p < \infty$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let K be a compact normal subgroup of G. Then G/K has the p-AP if and only if G has the p-AP.*

Proof. Suppose first that G/K has the p-AP. Define a linear map $\Psi : M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K) \to M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ by $\Psi(\varphi)(s) = \varphi(\dot{s})$. It is well defined because for every $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)$, we can write

$$\Psi(\varphi)(st^{-1}) = \langle \beta \circ \pi(s), \alpha \circ \pi(t) \rangle,$$

where α and β are as in (2) and $\pi : G \to G/K$ is the quotient map. Hence, taking the infimum over all α, β, we see that $\|\Psi(\varphi)\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)}$. Furthermore, we shall prove that Ψ is $\sigma(M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K), Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)) - \sigma(M_{p\text{-}cb}(G), Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G))$ continuous. Consider the linear map $T : C_c(G) \to C_c(G/K)$ given by

$$T(f)(\dot{s}) = \int_K f(sk)\, dk, \quad \forall f \in C_c(G),$$

where $\dot{s} = \pi(s)$. This map is well defined and does not depend on the choice of the representative of $\dot{s}$. As shown in [22, §3.4], we may fix the Haar measure on G/K so that T extends to a contraction from $L_1(G)$ onto $L_1(G/K)$, and

$$\int_{G/K} T(f)(\dot{s})\, d\dot{s} = \int_G f(s)\, ds, \tag{15}$$

for every $f \in L_1(G)$. We wish now to extend T to $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$. Take $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)$ and $f \in L_1(G)$. Observe that $\Psi(\varphi)(sk) = \Psi(\varphi)(s)$ for all $s \in G$, $k \in K$. Then, regarding $T(f)$ as an element of $M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)^*$ as in (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T(f), \varphi \rangle &= \int_{G/K} T(f)(\dot{s})\varphi(\dot{s})\, d\dot{s} = \int_{G/K} \left(\int_K f(sk)\, dk \right) \Psi(\varphi)(s)\, d\dot{s} \\
&= \int_{G/K} \int_K f(sk)\Psi(\varphi)(sk)\, dk\, d\dot{s} = \int_{G/K} T\left(f\Psi(\varphi)\right)(\dot{s})\, d\dot{s} \\
&= \int_G f(s)\Psi(\varphi)(s)\, ds = \langle f, \Psi(\varphi) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that $\Psi(\varphi) \in C_b(G)$, which implies that $f\Psi(\varphi) \in L_1(G)$. The previous computation shows two things. First, it implies that T may be extended to a map from $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ onto $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)$, since

$$|\langle T(f), \varphi\rangle| \leq \|f\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*} \|\Psi(\varphi)\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} \leq \|f\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)}.$$

Second, it shows that $\Psi = T^*$. Hence, Ψ is weak*-weak* continuous. Now take a net (φ_i) in $A_p(G/K)$ such that $\varphi_i \to 1$ in $\sigma(M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K), Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K))$. We have that $\Psi(\varphi_i) \to \Psi(1)$ in $\sigma(M_{p\text{-}cb}(G), Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G))$. Moreover, since K is compact, by [16, Proposition 6], we have that $\Psi(\varphi_i) \in A_p(G)$ for all i. Since $\Psi(1)$ is the constant function 1 on G, we conclude that G has the p-AP.

The proof of the other direction follows the same idea. We shall define operators $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}$ by the same formulas as T and Ψ, but in different spaces. Consider $\tilde{T} : M_{p\text{-}cb}(G) \to M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)$ given by

$$\tilde{T}(\varphi)(\dot{s}) = \int_K \varphi(sk)\, dk, \quad \forall \varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G).$$

Let us prove that this map is well defined and continuous. Take $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ and functions $\alpha : G \to E$, $\beta : G \to E^*$ as in (2). Thus

$$\tilde{T}(\varphi)(\dot{s}\dot{t}^{-1}) = \int_K \langle \beta(s), \alpha(k^{-1}t)\rangle\, dk = \left\langle \beta(s), \int_K \alpha(k^{-1}t)\, dk \right\rangle, \quad \forall s, t \in G.$$

Define $\tilde{\alpha}(\dot{t}) = \int_K \alpha(k^{-1}t)\, dk$. To see that it is well defined, take $t \in G$, $k' \in K$ and recall that $tk't^{-1} \in K$ because K is normal. Then

$$\int_K \alpha(k^{-1}tk')\, dk = \int_K \alpha(k^{-1}tk't^{-t}t)\, dk = \int_K \alpha(k^{-1}t)\, dk.$$

Now let F be the closed subspace of E generated by $\{\tilde{\alpha}(\dot{t}) \ : \ t \in G\}$. Observe that $F \in SQ_p$ and that $\tilde{\alpha} : G/K \to F$ is a continuous function because $t \mapsto \int_K \alpha(k^{-1}t)\, dk$ is continuous thanks to the compactness of K. Now define a function $\tilde{\beta} : G/K \to F^*$ by

$$\langle \beta(\dot{s}), x\rangle = \langle \beta(s), x\rangle, \quad \forall x \in F.$$

Again, it is well defined because

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \beta(sk'), \int_K \alpha(k^{-1}t)\, dk \right\rangle &= \int_K \varphi(sk't^{-1}k)\, dk = \int_K \varphi(st^{-1}tk't^{-1}k)\, dk \\ &= \int_K \varphi(st^{-1}k)\, dk = \left\langle \beta(s), \int_K \alpha(k^{-1}t)\, dk \right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

for all $s, t \in G$ and $k' \in K$. Moreover, it is continuous since by definition,

$$\|\tilde{\beta}(\dot{s}_1) - \tilde{\beta}(\dot{s}_2)\|_{F^*} \leq \|\beta(s_1) - \beta(s_2)\|_{E^*}, \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in G.$$

Hence

$$\tilde{T}(\varphi)(\dot{s}\dot{t}^{-1}) = \langle \beta(\dot{s}), \tilde{\alpha}(\dot{t}) \rangle, \quad \forall \dot{s}, \dot{t} \in G/K,$$

and $\|\tilde{T}(\varphi)\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)} \leq \|\tilde{\alpha}\|_\infty \|\tilde{\beta}\|_\infty \leq \|\alpha\|_\infty \|\beta\|_\infty$. Thus $\|\tilde{T}(\varphi)\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}$. Now define $\tilde{\Psi} : C_c(G/K) \to C_c(G)$ by $\tilde{\Psi}(f)(s) = f(\dot{s})$. We wish to extend this map to $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)$ and prove that $\tilde{\Psi}^* = \tilde{T}$. Observe that by (15),

$$\int_G |\tilde{\Psi}(f)(s)|\, ds = \int_{G/K} T\left(|\tilde{\Psi}(f)|\right)(\dot{s})\, d\dot{s} = \int_{G/K} \int_K |f(\dot{s})|\, dk\, d\dot{s} = \int_{G/K} |f(\dot{s})|\, d\dot{s},$$

for every $f \in C_c(G/K)$. Thus, $\tilde{\Psi}$ extends to an isometry from $L_1(G/K)$ into $L_1(G)$. Furthermore, for all $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ and $f \in C_c(G/K)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \tilde{T}(\varphi), f \rangle &= \int_{G/K} \tilde{T}(\varphi)(\dot{s}) \tilde{\Psi}(f)(s)\, d\dot{s} = \int_{G/K} \int_K \varphi(sk) \tilde{\Psi}(f)(sk)\, dk\, d\dot{s} \\ &= \int_G \varphi(s) \tilde{\Psi}(f)(s)\, ds = \langle \varphi, \tilde{\Psi}(f) \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|\langle \varphi, \tilde{\Psi}(f) \rangle| \leq \|\tilde{T}(\varphi)\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)} \|f\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)^*} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} \|f\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)^*}.$$

Thus, $\tilde{\Psi}$ extends to a contraction from $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G/K)$ to $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$, and $\tilde{\Psi}^* = \tilde{T}$. Finally, by [16, Proposition 6], $\tilde{T}$ maps $A_p(G)$ to $A_p(G/K)$, so we conclude as before. □

Proposition 5.2. *If G has the p-AP, then every closed subgroup of G has the p-AP.*

Proof. Let H be a closed subgroup of G endowed with a left Haar measure. As in the proof of proposition 5.1, we shall define a map from $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(H)$ to $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ and then consider its adjoint. Take $\psi \in C_c(G)$ such that $\psi \geq 0$ and $\int_G \psi = 1$, and consider the convolution map $\Phi : L_1(H) \to L_1(G)$ given by

$$\Phi(f)(s) = \int_H f(t) \psi(t^{-1}s)\, dt.$$

This map is well defined since $\Phi(f) = \mu_f * \psi$, where μ_f is the measure on G given by

$$\int_G g(s)\, d\mu_f(s) = \int_H g(t) f(t) dt, \quad \forall g \in C_c(G).$$

Moreover, it is a contraction. Indeed, for every $f \in L_1(H)$,

$$\|\Phi(f)\|_{L_1(G)} \leq \int_H |f(t)| \int_G |\psi(t^{-1}s)|\, ds\, dt \leq \|\psi\|_{L_1(G)} \|f\|_{L_1(H)} = \|f\|_{L_1(H)}.$$

Take now $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$.

$$\langle \Phi(f), \varphi \rangle = \int_G \left(\int_H f(t)\psi(t^{-1}s)\, dt \right) \varphi(s)\, ds.$$

Observe that

$$\int_G \int_H |f(t)||\psi(t^{-1}s)||\varphi(s)|\, dt\, ds \leq \|f\|_{L_1(H)} \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

So by Fubini's theorem,

$$\langle \Phi(f), \varphi \rangle = \int_H f(t) \int_G \psi(t^{-1}s)\varphi(s)\, ds\, dt = \int_H f(t)\ \varphi * \check{\psi}(t)\, dt. \tag{16}$$

Let us prove now that $\varphi * \check{\psi}$ defines an element of $M_{p\text{-}cb}(H)$. Take α and β like in (2), so

$$\varphi(st^{-1}) = \langle \beta(s), \alpha(t) \rangle, \quad \forall s, t \in G.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi * \check{\psi}(st^{-1}) &= \int_G \psi(ts^{-1}r)\varphi(r)\, dr = \int_G \psi(r)\varphi(st^{-1}r)\, dr \\ &= \int_G \psi(r)\langle \beta(s), \alpha(r^{-1}t) \rangle\, dr = \langle \beta(s), \tilde{\alpha}(t) \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}(t) = \int_G \psi(r)\alpha(r^{-1}t)\, dr.$$

Recall that the function ψ has compact support, so $\tilde{\alpha}$ is well defined and continuous, and the equality (17) is justified. Moreover,

$$\|\tilde{\alpha}(t)\| \leq \int_G |\psi(r)| \|\alpha(r^{-1}t)\|\, dr \leq \sup_{t \in G} \|\alpha(t)\|, \quad \forall t \in G.$$

So, in particular,

$$\left(\sup_{s \in H} \|\beta(t)\| \right) \left(\sup_{t \in H} \|\tilde{\alpha}(t)\| \right) \leq \left(\sup_{s \in G} \|\beta(t)\| \right) \left(\sup_{t \in G} \|\alpha(t)\| \right).$$

Thus $\big(\varphi * \check{\psi}\big)|_H \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(H)$ and $\big\|\big(\varphi * \check{\psi}\big)|_H\big\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(H)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}$. Using (16) we get

$$|\langle \Phi(f), \varphi \rangle| \leq \|f\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(H)^*} \big\|\big(\varphi * \check{\psi}\big)|_H\big\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(H)} \leq \|f\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(H)^*} \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)}.$$

Hence, Φ extends to a contraction from $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(H)$ to $Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$, and its adjoint $\Phi^* : M_{p\text{-}cb}(G) \to M_{p\text{-}cb}(H)$ is given by $\Phi^*(\varphi) = \big(\varphi * \check{\psi}\big)|_H$. Observe that $\Phi^*(1) = 1$, so if we prove that Φ^*

maps $A_p(G)$ to $A_p(H)$, we can conclude that H has the p-AP as in proposition 5.1. Take $f \in L_p(G)$ and $g \in L_{p'}(G)$, where p' is the Hölder conjugate of p, and observe that

$$\left(g * \check{f}\right) * \check{\psi} = g * (\psi * f)^{\vee}.$$

Since $\|\psi * f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$, we get

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} g_n * \check{f}_n \right) * \check{\psi} \right\|_{A_p(G)} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|g_n\|_{p'} \|\psi * f_n\|_p \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|g_n\|_{p'} \|f_n\|_p,$$

for all finite families $f_1, ..., f_N \in L_p(G)$, $g_1, ..., g_N \in L_{p'}(G)$. By density, this implies that $\|a * \check{\psi}\|_{A_p(G)} \leq \|a\|_{A_p(G)}$ for all $a \in A_p(G)$. Since H is a closed subgroup of G, by [7, Theorem 7.8.2] we have that $\left(a * \check{\psi}\right)|_H \in A_p(H)$ and $\left\|\left(a * \check{\psi}\right)|_H\right\|_{A_p(H)} \leq \|a * \check{\psi}\|_{A_p(G)}$. Therefore, Φ^* maps $A_p(G)$ to $A_p(H)$, which concludes the proof. □

Now let Γ be lattice in G. This means that Γ is a discrete subgroup of G such that G/Γ has a finite G-invariant measure. This implies the existence of a Borel subset $\Omega \subset G$ such that the restriction of the quotient map $G \to G/\Gamma$ to Ω is bijective. Observe that this allows to define maps $\omega : G \to \Omega$, $\gamma : G \to \Gamma$ such that

$$s = \omega(s)\gamma(s), \quad \forall s \in G, \tag{18}$$

and this decomposition is unique. Let μ_G be the Haar measure on G. Since Γ is a lattice, $\mu_G(\Omega)$ is finite and strictly positive, so we may normalize μ_G in such a way that $\mu_G(\Omega) = 1$. The following proposition corresponds to [13, Theorem 2.4] for $p = 2$ and the proof uses the same ideas.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If Γ has the p-AP, then so does G.*

Proof. Again the strategy is to define a suitable map $\Phi : M_{p\text{-}cb}(\Gamma) \to M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ and consider the image of an approximating net in $A_p(\Gamma)$. For $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(\Gamma)$ put $\Phi(\varphi) = \mathbb{1}_\Omega * \varphi\mu_\Gamma * \check{\mathbb{1}}_\Omega$, where $\mathbb{1}_\Omega$ (resp. $\check{\mathbb{1}}_\Omega$) is the indicator function of Ω (resp. Ω^{-1}) and μ_Γ is the counting measure on Γ. More explicitly,

$$\Phi(\varphi)(s) = \int_\Omega \varphi(\gamma(su))\, du, \quad \forall s \in G.$$

This map satisfies $\Phi(1) = 1$. Let us show that $\Phi(\varphi) \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$. Take E, α and β as in (2).

$$\Phi(\varphi)(st^{-1}) = \int_\Omega \varphi(\gamma(st^{-1}u))\, du, \quad \forall s, t \in G.$$

As was shown in the proof of [10, Lemma 2.1], the map $u \in \Omega \mapsto \omega(tu) \in \Omega$ preserves μ_G for all $t \in G$. Hence we get

$$\Phi(\varphi)(st^{-1}) = \int_\Omega \varphi(\gamma(st^{-1}\omega(tu)))\, du, \quad \forall s, t \in G.$$

Now observe that

$$st^{-1}\omega(tu) = su(tu)^{-1}\omega(tu) = \omega(su)\gamma(su)\gamma(tu)^{-1}.$$

Since the decomposition (18) is unique, we get $\gamma(st^{-1}\omega(tu)) = \gamma(su)\gamma(tu)^{-1}$. Thus

$$\Phi(\varphi)(st^{-1}) = \int_\Omega \varphi(\gamma(su)\gamma(tu)^{-1})\,du = \int_\Omega \langle \beta(\gamma(su)), \alpha(\gamma(tu))\rangle\,du, \quad \forall s,t \in G.$$

Therefore, if we define $\tilde{\alpha} : G \to L_p(\Omega; E)$ by $\tilde{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(\gamma(t\,\cdot))$, and $\tilde{\beta} : G \to L_p(\Omega; E)^*$ by

$$\langle \tilde{\beta}(s), f\rangle = \int_\Omega \langle \beta(\gamma(su)), f(u)\rangle\,du, \quad \forall s \in G,\ \forall f \in L_p(\Omega; E),$$

we get $\Phi(\varphi)(st^{-1}) = \langle \tilde{\beta}(s), \tilde{\alpha}(t)\rangle$ for all $s,t \in G$. Moreover,

$$\|\tilde{\alpha}(t)\|_{L_p(\Omega;E)} = \left(\int_\Omega \|\alpha(tu)\|^p\,du\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \|\alpha\|_\infty, \quad \forall t \in G. \tag{19}$$

And putting $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$, for all $s \in G$ and $f \in L_p(\Omega; E)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \tilde{\beta}(s), f\rangle| &\le \int_\Omega \|\beta(\gamma(su))\|\|f(u)\|\,du \\ &\le \left(\int_\Omega \|\beta(\gamma(su))\|^{p'}\,du\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_\Omega \|f(u)\|^p\,du\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\le \|\beta\|_\infty \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega;E)}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Hence, $\|\tilde{\alpha}\|_\infty \le \|\alpha\|_\infty$ and $\|\tilde{\beta}\|_\infty \le \|\beta\|_\infty$. Observe that $\Phi(\varphi)$ is continuous because it is the convolution of $\mathbb{1}_\Omega * \varphi\mu_\Gamma \in L_1(G)$ and $\check{\mathbb{1}}_\Omega \in L_\infty(G)$ (see e.g. [9, Proposition 2.39]). Therefore, using [4, Theorem 8.6] together with remark 1.1, we conclude that $\Phi(\varphi) \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ and $\|\Phi(\varphi)\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} \le \|\alpha\|_\infty\|\beta\|_\infty$. Taking the infimum over all the decompositions, we obtain

$$\|\Phi(\varphi)\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)} \le \|\varphi\|_{M_{p\text{-}cb}(\Gamma)}.$$

Let us show now that Φ is an adjoint operator. Let $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(\Gamma)$ and $f \in L_1(G)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \Phi(\varphi)\rangle &= \int_G f(s) \int_\Omega \varphi(\gamma(su))\,du\,ds = \int_\Omega \int_G f(s)\varphi(\gamma(su))\,ds\,du \\ &= \int_\Omega \int_G f(su^{-1})\varphi(\gamma(s))\,ds\,du = \int_\Omega \left(\sum_{r\in\Gamma} \int_{\Omega r} f(su^{-1})\varphi(\gamma(s))\,ds\right) du \\ &= \sum_{r\in\Gamma} \varphi(r) \int_\Omega \int_{\Omega r} f(su^{-1})\,ds\,du. \end{aligned}$$

In the first line we have used Fubini's theorem, which holds because

$$\int_\Omega \int_G |f(s)||\varphi(\gamma(su))|\, ds\, du \leq \|f\|_1 \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

Thus, defining

$$\Psi(f)(r) = \int_\Omega \int_{\Omega r} f(su^{-1})\, ds\, du, \quad \forall f \in L_1(G),\ \forall r \in \Gamma,$$

the previous computations show that $\Psi(f) \in \ell_1(\Gamma)$ and that $\Phi = \Psi^*$, as in the proof of proposition 5.1. We conclude that $\Phi : M_{p\text{-}cb}(\Gamma) \to M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ is weak*-weak* continuous. Finally, we need to check that Φ maps $A_p(\Gamma)$ to $A_p(G)$. Take $f \in \ell_p(\Gamma)$ and $g \in \ell_{p'}(\Gamma)$, and define

$$\tilde{f}(s) = f(\gamma(s)), \quad \tilde{g}(s) = g(\gamma(s)), \quad \forall s \in G.$$

Observe that

$$\int_G |\tilde{f}(s)|^p\, ds = \sum_{r\in\Gamma} \int_{\Omega r} |f(r)|^p\, ds = \|f\|_p^p.$$

This shows that $\tilde{f} \in L_p(G)$ and $\|\tilde{f}\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$. Analogously $\|\tilde{g}\|_{p'} \leq \|g\|_{p'}$. Moreover, for every $s \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g} * \check{\tilde{f}}(s) = \tilde{f} * \check{\tilde{g}}(s^{-1}) &= \int_G \tilde{f}(t)\tilde{g}(st)\, dt = \int_G f(\gamma(t))g(\gamma(st))\, dt \\
&= \sum_{r\in\Gamma} f(r) \int_{\Omega r} g(\gamma(st))\, dt = \sum_{r\in\Gamma} f(r) \int_\Omega g(\gamma(str))\, dt \\
&= \int_\Omega \sum_{r\in\Gamma} f(r)g(\gamma(st)r)\, dt = \int_\Omega f * \check{g}(\gamma(st)^{-1})\, dt \\
&= \int_\Omega g * \check{f}(\gamma(st))\, dt = \Phi(g * \check{f})(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Phi(g * \check{f}) \in A_p(G)$ and $\|\Phi(g * \check{f})\|_{A_p(G)} \leq \|g\|_{p'}\|f\|_p$. By linearity we obtain

$$\left\|\Phi\left(\sum g_n * \check{f}_n\right)\right\|_{A_p(G)} \leq \sum \|g_n\|_{p'}\|f_n\|_p,$$

for all finite families (f_n) in $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ and (g_n) in $\ell_{p'}(\Gamma)$. Taking the infimum over all the decompositions we get $\|\Phi(a)\|_{A_p(G)} \leq \|a\|_{A_p(\Gamma)}$ for all $a \in \Lambda_p(\ell_{p'}(\Gamma) \otimes \ell_p(\Gamma)) \subset A_p(\Gamma)$. By density the same holds for all $a \in A_p(\Gamma)$. Thus, if (φ_i) is a net in $A_p(\Gamma)$ such that $\varphi_i \to 1$ in $\sigma(M_{p\text{-}cb}(\Gamma), Q_{p\text{-}cb}(\Gamma))$, then $(\Phi(\varphi_i))$ is a net in $A_p(G)$ such that $\Phi(\varphi_i) \to 1$ in $\sigma(M_{p\text{-}cb}(G), Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G))$. □

5.2 Proof of the theorem

Now we are ready to give the proof of theorem 1.5, which relies in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and G_1, G_2 be two locally isomorphic connected simple Lie groups with finite center. Then G_1 has the p-AP if and only if G_2 has the p-AP.*

Proof. Since the groups are locally isomorphic, their Lie algebras are isomorphic, which in turn implies that their adjoint groups are isomorphic (see [14, §II.5] for more details). If we denote the center of G_i by $Z(G_i)$, then by [14, Corollary II.5.2], $G_1/Z(G_1)$ and $G_2/Z(G_2)$ are isomorphic. Then, using proposition 5.1, we see that G_i has the p-AP if and only if $G_i/Z(G_i)$ does. Since the quotients are isomorphic, the result follows. □

Proof of theorem 1.5. First let us prove part (a). If G has real rank greater than 1, then by [20, Proposition I.1.6.2], it has a subgroup H which is locally isomorphic to either $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, as was shown in [8, §4], H is a closed subgroup of G. By lemma 5.4, H cannot have the p-AP for any $1 < p < \infty$, or else it would contradict theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Furthermore, by proposition 5.2, the same holds for G. On the other hand, it was shown in [3] that if G has real rank 1, it is weakly amenable. And it follows from the KAK decomposition that if G has real rank 0, it is compact, which in turns implies that it is amenable. By [13, Theorem 1.12], these properties are stronger than the AP, so we conclude using proposition 2.1. Part (b) is a consequence of (a) plus propositions 5.2 and 5.3. □

6 The p-AP implies that the convolvers are pseudo-measures

Let G be a locally compact group. It was proven in [5] that the approximation property AP implies that $CV_p(G) = PM_p(G)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$. In this section we show how their arguments actually prove the following somewhat stronger result.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If G has the p-AP, then $CV_p(G) = PM_p(G)$.*

Remark 6.2. *By proposition 2.1, the previous theorem implies that, if G has the q-AP, then $CV_p(G) = PM_p(G)$ for all $1 < p, q < \infty$ such that $\left|\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\right| \leq \left|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right|$.*

Recall that $PM_p(G)$ is the dual of $A_p(G)$. Cowling showed [2] that $CV_p(G)$ can also be viewed as a dual space. Let e be the identity element of G. For every compact neighbourhood K of e in G, let $L_p(K)$ be the subspace of $L_p(G)$ consisting of those functions supported on K, and let $\check{A}_{p,K}(G)$ be the space of functions of the form

$$a = \sum_n g_n * \check{f}_n, \quad g_n \in L_{p'}(K),\ f_n \in L_p(K),$$

such that $\sum_n \|g_n\|_{p'}\|f_n\|_p < \infty$. Let $\check{A}_p(G) = \bigcup_K \check{A}_{p,K}(G)$ and

$$\|a\|_{\check{A}_p} = \inf\left\{\sum_n \|g_n\|_{p'}\|f_n\|_p \ : \ a = \sum g_n * \check{f}_n \in \check{A}_{p,K}(G),\ K \text{ compact nbhd. of } e\right\}.$$

Then $\check{A}_p(G)^*$ may be identified with $CV_p(G)$ by $T \in CV_p(G) \mapsto \Phi_T \in \check{A}_p(G)^*$, where

$$\langle \Phi_T, a\rangle = \sum \langle g_n, T(f_n)\rangle, \quad a = \sum g_n * \check{f}_n.$$

For every $\tau \in L_{p'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_p(G)$, $T \in CV_p(G)$ and $\psi \in \check{A}_p(G)$ such that $\psi \geq 0$ and $\int \psi = 1$, we can define $\mu \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)^*$ by

$$\langle \mu, \varphi\rangle = \langle T, (\psi * \varphi)\cdot\tau\rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G),$$

where

$$\langle T, g\otimes f\rangle = \langle g, T(f)\rangle, \quad \forall g \in L_{p'}(G),\ \forall f \in L_p(G),$$

and

$$\varphi\cdot\tau(s,t) = \varphi(st^{-1})\tau(s,t), \quad \forall \varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G),\ \forall \tau \in L_{p'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_p(G),$$

viewing τ as a function on $G\times G$. Under these conditions, $\|\mu\| \leq \|\tau\|\|T\|$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let μ be as above. Then μ is $*$-weak continuous, that is, $\mu \in Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$.*

Proof. Since $C_c(G)\otimes C_c(G)$ is dense in $L_{p'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_p(G)$, by continuity we may assume $\tau \in C_c(G)\otimes C_c(G)$. We will construct $g \in L_1(G)$ such that $\langle \mu, \varphi\rangle = \int \varphi g$ for all $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$. Let a be the element in $\check{A}_p(G)$ defined by τ. We have

$$(\psi * \varphi)(s)a(s) = (\psi * \chi_S\varphi)(s)a(s), \quad \forall s \in G,$$

where $S = \mathrm{supp}(\psi)^{-1}\mathrm{supp}(a) \subseteq G$ is compact. Define $\psi_t(s) = \psi(st)$ and

$$g(t) = \chi_S(t)\Delta(t^{-1})\langle T, \psi_{t^{-1}}a\rangle, \quad t \in G.$$

Then $g \in L_1(G)$ and

$$\int_G \varphi(t)g(t)\,dt = \langle \mu, \varphi\rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G).$$

See [5, Proposition 4.1] for details. □

Proof of theorem 6.1. It is always true that $PM_p(G) \subseteq CV_p(G)$. Now let $T \in CV_p(G)$ and (φ_i) be a net in $A_{p,c}(G)$ such that $\varphi_i \to 1$ in $\sigma(M_{p\text{-}cb}(G), Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G))$. Fix $\psi \in \check{A}_p(G)$ as above

and take $\tau \in L_{p'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_p(G)$. By the previous proposition, there exists $\mu \in Q_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$ such that $\langle \varphi, \mu \rangle = \langle T, (\psi * \varphi) \cdot \tau \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_i \langle (\psi * \varphi_i) \cdot T, \tau \rangle &= \lim_i \langle T, (\psi * \varphi_i) \cdot \tau \rangle = \lim_i \langle \varphi_i, \mu \rangle = \langle 1, \mu \rangle \\ &= \langle T, (\psi * 1) \cdot \tau \rangle = \langle T, 1 \cdot \tau \rangle = \langle T, \tau \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the $M_{p\text{-}cb}(G)$-module structure of $CV_p(G)$ (see [5, Proposition 3.2]). This is valid for all $\tau \in L_{p'}(G)\hat{\otimes}L_p(G)$, so $(\psi * \varphi_i) \cdot T \to T$ in the weak* topology of $\mathcal{B}(L_p(G))$. Since ψ and φ_i have compact support, so does $\psi * \varphi_i$. Thus, by [5, Proposition 3.3], $(\psi * \varphi_i) \cdot T \in PM_p(G)$ for all i, and since $PM_p(G)$ is weak* closed, this implies that $T \in PM_p(G)$. □

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Mikael de la Salle for many enlightening discussions and for his careful reading of some previous versions of this paper.

References

[1] Guimei An, Jung-Jin Lee, and Zhong-Jin Ruan. On p-approximation properties for p-operator spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 259(4):933–974, 2010.

[2] Michael Cowling. The predual of the space of convolutors on a locally compact group. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 57(3):409–414, 1998.

[3] Michael Cowling and Uffe Haagerup. Completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one. *Invent. Math.*, 96(3):507–549, 1989.

[4] Matthew Daws. p-operator spaces and Figà-Talamanca–Herz algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 63(1):47–83, 2010.

[5] Matthew Daws and Nico Spronk. The approximation property implies that convolvers are pseudo-measures. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2013.

[6] Andreas Defant and Klaus Floret. *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, volume 176 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.

[7] Antoine Derighetti. *Convolution operators on groups*, volume 11 of *Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana*. Springer, Heidelberg; UMI, Bologna, 2011.

[8] Brian Dorofaeff. Weak amenability and semidirect products in simple Lie groups. *Math. Ann.*, 306(4):737–742, 1996.

[9] Gerald B. Folland. *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.

[10] Uffe Haagerup. Group C^*-algebras without the completely bounded approximation property. *J. Lie Theory*, 26(3):861–887, 2016.

[11] Uffe Haagerup and Tim de Laat. Simple Lie groups without the approximation property. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(5):925–964, 2013.

[12] Uffe Haagerup and Tim de Laat. Simple Lie groups without the approximation property II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(6):3777–3809, 2016.

[13] Uffe Haagerup and Jon Kraus. Approximation properties for group C^*-algebras and group von Neumann algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 344(2):667–699, 1994.

[14] Sigurdur Helgason. *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, volume 80 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978.

[15] Carl Herz. The theory of p-spaces with an application to convolution operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 154:69–82, 1971.

[16] Carl Herz. Harmonic synthesis for subgroups. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 23(3):91–123, 1973.

[17] Tim de Laat and Mikael de la Salle. Strong property (T) for higher-rank simple Lie groups. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 111(4):936–966, 2015.

[18] Vincent Lafforgue. Un renforcement de la propriété (T). *Duke Math. J.*, 143(3):559–602, 2008.

[19] Vincent Lafforgue and Mikael De la Salle. Noncommutative L^p-spaces without the completely bounded approximation property. *Duke Math. J.*, 160(1):71–116, 2011.

[20] G. A. Margulis. *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, volume 17 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

[21] Gilles Pisier. Completely bounded maps between sets of Banach space operators. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 39(1):249–277, 1990.

[22] Hans Reiter and Jan D. Stegeman. *Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups*, volume 22 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 2000.